

LARGE-TIME ASYMPTOTICS FOR DEGENERATE CROSS-DIFFUSION POPULATION MODELS WITH VOLUME FILLING

XIUQING CHEN, ANSGAR JÜNGEL, XI LIN, AND LING LIU

ABSTRACT. The large-time asymptotics of the solutions to a class of degenerate parabolic cross-diffusion systems is analyzed. The equations model the interaction of an arbitrary number of population species in a bounded domain with no-flux boundary conditions. Compared to previous works, we allow for different diffusivities and degenerate nonlinearities. The proof is based on the relative entropy method, but in contrast to usual arguments, the relative entropy and entropy production are not directly related by a logarithmic Sobolev inequality. The key idea is to apply convex Sobolev inequalities to modified entropy densities including “iterated degenerate” functions.

1. INTRODUCTION

The aim of this note is to extend the large-time asymptotics result of [19] on multi-species cross-diffusion systems with volume-filling effects to the degenerate case. Such systems describe, for instance, the spatial segregation of population species [16], chemotactic cell migration in tissues [15], motility of biological cells [18], or ion transport in fluid mixtures [4]. The main difficulties of the cross-diffusion systems are the lack of positive semidefiniteness of the diffusion matrix and the nonstandard degeneracies. The first issue was overcome by applying the boundedness-by-entropy method [13], which exploits the underlying entropy (or formal gradient-flow) structure. This allows for both a global existence analysis and the proof of lower and upper bounds, without the use of a maximum principle. The second issue was handled by extending the Aubin–Lions compactness lemma [19]. However, the large-time asymptotics in [19] only holds if the problem is not degenerate. In the present note, we remove this restriction.

Date: June 30, 2023.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35K51, 35K59, 35K65, 35Q92, 92D25.

Key words and phrases. Degenerate parabolic equations, cross-diffusion systems, entropy method, large-time asymptotics.

The first, third, and fourth authors acknowledge support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC), grant 11971072. The second author acknowledges partial support from the Austrian Science Fund (FWF), grants P33010 and F65. This work has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme, ERC Advanced Grant no. 101018153.

The evolution of the volume fraction $u_i(x, t)$ of the i th species is given by

$$(1) \quad \partial_t u_i = \operatorname{div} \sum_{j=1}^n A_{ij}(u) \nabla u_j \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad t > 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

$$(2) \quad \sum_{j=1}^n A_{ij}(u) \nabla u_j \cdot \nu = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \quad u_i(\cdot, 0) = u_i^0 \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

where $u_0 = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^n u_i$ is the solvent volume fraction or the proportion of unoccupied space (depending on the application), $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ ($d \geq 1$) is a bounded domain with Lipschitz boundary, ν is the exterior unit normal vector to $\partial\Omega$, and the diffusion coefficients are given by

$$(3) \quad A_{ij}(u) = D_i p_i(u) q(u_0) \delta_{ij} + D_i u_i p_i(u) q'(u_0) + D_i u_i q(u_0) \frac{\partial p_i}{\partial u_j}(u),$$

where $i, j = 1, \dots, n$, $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$ is the solution vector, $D_i > 0$ are the diffusivities, δ_{ij} denotes the Kronecker symbol, and p_i and q are smooth functions. In particular, the bounds $0 \leq u_i \leq 1$ should hold for all $i = 0, \dots, n$. The boundary condition in (2) means that the physical or biological system is isolated. We note that equations (1) and (3) can be written as

$$(4) \quad \partial_t u_i = D_i \operatorname{div} \left(u_i p_i(u) q(u_0) \nabla \log \frac{u_i p_i(u)}{q(u_0)} \right) = D_i \operatorname{div} \left(q(u_0)^2 \nabla \frac{u_i p_i(u)}{q(u_0)} \right).$$

In some applications, drift or reaction terms need to be added; see, e.g., [3, 9] for systems with drift terms and [6] for reaction rates.

Equations (1) and (3) can be formally derived from a random-walk lattice model in the diffusion limit [19, Appendix A]. The functions p_i and q are related to the transition rates of the lattice model with p_i measuring the occupancy and q measuring the non-occupancy. This class of systems contains the population model of Shigesada, Kawasaki, and Teramoto [16] (if p_i is a linear function and $q = 1$) and Nernst–Planck-type equations accounting for finite ion sizes (if $p_i = 1$ and $q(u_0) = u_0$; see [9]). In this note, we consider the degenerate case $q'(0) = 0$ and assume that there exists a smooth function χ such that $p_i = \exp(\partial\chi/\partial u_i)$ to guarantee an entropy structure via the entropy density

$$(5) \quad h(u) = \sum_{i=1}^n (u_i (\log u_i - 1) + 1) + \int_1^{u_0} \log q(s) ds + \chi(u),$$

where $u \in \mathcal{D} := \{u \in (0, 1)^n : \sum_{i=1}^n u_i < 1\}$.

There exist other approaches to model volume filling. The finite particle size may be taken into account by adding cross-diffusion terms of the type $u_i \nabla \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij} u_j$ to the standard Nernst–Planck flux [11] or by using the Bikerman-type flux $J_i = -D_i (\nabla u_i - u_i \nabla \log u_0)$ in the mass conservation equation $\partial_t u_i + \operatorname{div} J_i = 0$ [1].

The global existence of bounded weak solutions to (1)–(3) was shown in [19, Theorem 1] assuming $D_i = 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and the following conditions:

- (H1)** Domain: $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ ($d \geq 1$) is a bounded convex domain with Lipschitz boundary, $T > 0$. Set $\mathcal{D} = \{u \in (0, 1)^n : \sum_{i=1}^n u_i < 1\}$ and $\Omega_T = \Omega \times (0, T)$.
- (H2)** Initial datum: $u^0(x) \in \mathcal{D}$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and $h(u^0) \in L^1(\Omega)$.
- (H3)** Functions p_i : $p_i = \exp(\partial\chi/\partial u_i)$, where $\chi \in C^3(\overline{\mathcal{D}})$ is convex.
- (H4)** Function q : $q \in C^3([0, 1])$ satisfies $q(0) = 0$, $q(1) = 1$, $q'(0) \geq 0$ and $q(s) > 0$, $q'(s) > 0$ for all $0 < s \leq 1$.

The convexity of Ω in Hypothesis (H1) is used for the convex Sobolev inequality; see Lemma 2 below. For generalized Nernst–Planck systems with $p_i = \text{const.}$, we may choose $\chi(u) = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i$, which satisfies Hypothesis (H3). Moreover, if $p_i(u) = P_i(u_i)$ for some functions $P_i : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, condition $p_i = \exp(\partial\chi/\partial u_i)$ is satisfied with $\chi(u) = \sum_{i=1}^n \chi_i(u_i)$ and $\chi_i(s) = \int_0^s \log P_i(\tau) d\tau$. The functions $q(s) = s^\alpha$ with $\alpha \geq 1$ satisfy Hypothesis (H4).

We claim that the existence result also holds for arbitrary $D_i > 0$. Indeed, it is sufficient to define $\tilde{\chi}(u) = \chi(u) + \sum_{j=1}^n u_j \log D_j$, since $\exp(\partial\tilde{\chi}/\partial u_i) = D_i \exp(\partial\chi/\partial u_i) = D_i p_i$, and we can apply Theorem 1 in [19] with $\tilde{\chi}$. We observe that the condition $q'(s)/q(s) \geq c_1 > 0$ in [19] is not needed for the existence analysis.

The weak solution $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$ to (1)–(3) satisfies $u(x, t) \in \mathcal{D}$ for a.e. $(x, t) \in \Omega_T$, mass conservation, the regularity

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{q(u_0)}, \sqrt{q(u_0)}u_i &\in L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)), \quad \sqrt{q(u_0)}\nabla u_i \in L^2(\Omega_T), \\ \partial_t u_i &\in L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)') \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n, \end{aligned}$$

and the weak formulation

$$\int_0^T \langle \partial_t u_i, \phi_i \rangle dt = - \int_0^T \int_\Omega D_i \sqrt{q(u_0)} [\nabla(u_i p_i(u) \sqrt{q(u_0)}) - 3u_i p_i(u) \nabla \sqrt{q(u_0)}] \cdot \nabla \phi_i dx dt$$

for all $\phi_i \in L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega))$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the duality product of $H^1(\Omega)'$ and $H^1(\Omega)$. Moreover, the initial datum in (2) is satisfied in the sense of $H^1(\Omega)'$ and the entropy inequality

$$(6) \quad \int_\Omega h(u(t)) dx + c_0 \int_s^t \int_\Omega \left(q(u_0) \sum_{i=1}^n |\nabla \sqrt{u_i}|^2 + |\nabla \sqrt{q(u_0)}|^2 \right) dx dr \leq \int_\Omega h(u(s)) dx,$$

holds for $0 \leq s < t$, $t > 0$ for some $c_0 > 0$ depending on D_i , p_i , and q , recalling definition (5) of $h(u)$. The $L^\infty(\Omega_T)$ bound for u_i and the $L^2(\Omega_T)$ for $\sqrt{q(u_0)}\nabla u_i$ imply that $\nabla(u_i p_i(u) \sqrt{q(u_0)}) \in L^2(\Omega_T)$, so that the weak formulation is well defined.

Our main result is the convergence of the solutions to (1)–(3) towards the constant steady state

$$u_i^\infty = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_\Omega u_i^0 dx \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n, \quad u_0^\infty = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^n u_i^\infty$$

for large times under the following additional hypothesis:

- (H5)** q is convex, q/q' is concave, and there exist $\beta \in [0, 1]$, $c_1 > 0$ such that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{s^\beta q'(s)}{q(s)} = c_1 > 0.$$

Examples of functions satisfying Hypothesis (H5) are $q(s) = s^\alpha$ with $\alpha \geq 1$. The convergence (with exponential decay rate) was proved in [19] for the nondegenerate case $q'(0) > 0$ only. In the degenerate situation $q'(0) = 0$, the numerical results of [9] indicate that exponential rates cannot be expected. Therefore, we show the convergence without rate.

Theorem 1 (Large-time asymptotics). *Let Hypotheses (H1)–(H5) hold and let $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$ be a weak solution to (1)–(3) satisfying the entropy inequality (6). Then $u_i(t) \rightarrow u_i^\infty$ strongly in $L^p(\Omega)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$.*

The idea of the proof is to exploit, as in [19], the relative entropy density (or Bregman distance)

$$(7) \quad h^*(u|u^\infty) = h(u) - h(u^\infty) - h'(u^\infty) \cdot (u - u^\infty),$$

where $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$ is the weak solution to (1)–(3). The entropy inequality implies that

$$\frac{dh^*}{dt}(u|u^\infty) + \frac{c_0}{2} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^n |\nabla \sqrt{q(u_0)u_i}|^2 dx \leq 0.$$

Unfortunately, the entropy production integral cannot be estimated in terms of the relative entropy directly by applying a logarithmic Sobolev inequality to u_i . We overcome this issue by using two ideas.

First, we apply the logarithmic Sobolev inequality to $\sqrt{q(u_0)u_i}$,

$$\int_{\Omega} q(u_0)u_i \log \frac{q(u_0)u_i}{|\Omega|^{-1} \int_{\Omega} q(u_0)u_i dx} dx \leq C \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \sqrt{q(u_0)u_i}|^2 dx.$$

The idea is to relate the integrand of the left-hand side to the relative entropy part $h_1^*(u|u^\infty) = \sum_{i=1}^n (u_i \log(u_i/u_i^\infty) - u_i + u_i^\infty) dx$. For this, we define

$$f_1(u) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(q(u_0)u_i \log \frac{q(u_0)u_i}{|\Omega|^{-1} \int_{\Omega} q(u_0)u_i dx} - q(u_0)u_i + \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} q(u_0)u_i dx \right).$$

Since $\int_0^\infty \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \sqrt{q(u_0)u_i}|^2 dx dt < \infty$, we also have $\int_0^\infty \int_{\Omega} f_1(u) dx dt < \infty$, and there exists a subsequence $t_k \rightarrow \infty$ such that $f_1(u(t_k)) \rightarrow 0$. The key result is the limit (see Lemma 8)

$$\lim_{t_k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{f_1(u(t_k))}{|\Omega|^{-1} \int_{\Omega} q(u_0(t_k)) dx} - h_1^*(u(t_k)|u^\infty) \right) = 0.$$

This result shows that $h_1^*(u(t_k)|u^\infty) \rightarrow 0$ as $t_k \rightarrow \infty$.

Second, instead of the part $h_2^*(u|u^\infty) = \int_{u_0^\infty}^{u_0} \log(q(s)/q(u_0^\infty)) ds$ of the relative entropy density, we analyze the function

$$f_2(u_0) = \int_{\bar{q}}^{q(u_0)} \log \frac{q(s)}{q(\bar{q})} ds,$$

where $\bar{q} := |\Omega|^{-1} \int_{\Omega} q(u_0) dx$, which can be seen as an “iterated” version of $h_2^*(u|u^\infty)$, since it involves $q \circ q$ instead of q . Then an application of the convex Sobolev inequality yields a bound for the integral over $|\nabla \sqrt{q(u_0)}|^2$ without the need of condition $q'(0) > 0$; see Remark

6 for details. It follows from $\int_0^\infty \int_\Omega |\nabla \sqrt{q(u_0)}|^2 dx dt < \infty$ that $\int_0^\infty \int_\Omega f_2(u) dx dt < \infty$, and there exists a subsequence $t_k \rightarrow \infty$ such that $f_2(u(t_k)) \rightarrow 0$.

The convergences $f_1(u(t_k)) \rightarrow 0$ and $f_2(u(t_k)) \rightarrow 0$ as well as the monotonicity of the entropy imply that $h^*(u(t_k)|u^\infty) \rightarrow 0$ pointwise. The monotonicity of $t \mapsto \int_\Omega h^*(u(t)|u^\infty) dx$ then implies the convergence for all sequences $t \rightarrow \infty$ and finally $u_i(t) \rightarrow u_i^\infty$ strongly in $L^2(\Omega)$.

To conclude the introduction, we mention some results on the large-time asymptotics for diffusion systems. Exponential equilibration rates in $L^p(\Omega)$ norms were shown for reaction-diffusion systems in [8, 7], for electro-reaction-diffusion systems in [10], and for Maxwell–Stefan systems for chemically reacting fluids in [6, 14]. The convergence to equilibrium was proved for Shigesada–Kawasaki–Teramoto cross-diffusion systems without rate in [17], for instance. All these results concern nondegenerate diffusion equations. The work [3] is concerned with the large-time asymptotics for systems like (1) with $D_i = p_i = 1$ and $q(u_0) = u_0$ without rate. The asymptotics for solutions to Poisson–Nernst–Planck-type equations with quadratic nonlinearity was investigated in [20] using Wasserstein techniques. Decay rates for degenerate diffusion systems without cross-diffusion terms were derived in [5]. An extension of our results to cross-diffusion systems with drift or reactions seems delicate; see Remark 9 for drift terms and [6] for cross-diffusion systems with reversible reactions.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

We first recall the convex Sobolev inequality; see [19, Lemma 11].

Lemma 2 (Convex Sobolev inequality). *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ ($d \geq 1$) be a convex domain and let $g \in C^4(\mathbb{R})$ be convex such that $1/g''$ is concave. Then there exists $C_S > 0$ such that for all $v \in L^1(\Omega)$ such that $g(v)$, $g''(v)|\nabla v|^2 \in L^1(\Omega)$,*

$$\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_\Omega g(v) dx - g\left(\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_\Omega v dx\right) \leq \frac{C_S}{|\Omega|} \int_\Omega g''(v) |\nabla v|^2 dx.$$

The logarithmic Sobolev inequality is obtained for the choice $g(v) = v(\log v - 1) + 1$:

$$(8) \quad \int_\Omega v \log \frac{v}{|\Omega|^{-1} \int_\Omega v dx} dx \leq 4C_S \int_\Omega |\nabla \sqrt{v}|^2 dx$$

and for functions $\sqrt{v} \in H^1(\Omega)$.

Since $h(u^\infty)$ is independent of time (because of mass conservation), the entropy inequality (6) implies the relative entropy inequality

$$(9) \quad \int_\Omega h^*(u(t)|u^\infty) dx + c_0 \int_s^t \int_\Omega \left(q(u_0) \sum_{i=1}^n |\nabla \sqrt{u_i}|^2 + |\nabla \sqrt{q(u_0)}|^2 \right) dx dr \\ \leq \int_\Omega h^*(u(s)|u^\infty) dx$$

for $0 \leq s < t$ and $t > 0$, where $h^*(u|u^\infty)$ is defined in (7). As mentioned in the introduction, we cannot apply the logarithmic Sobolev inequality (8) with $v = u_i$ since $q(u_0) = 0$ for $u_0 = 0$. Instead we apply this inequality to $v = q(u_0)u_i$.

We split the relative entropy density h^* into three parts, $h^* = h_1^* + h_2^* + h_3^*$, where

$$\begin{aligned} h_1^*(u|u^\infty) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(u_i \log \frac{u_i}{u_i^\infty} - u_i + u_i^\infty \right), \\ h_2^*(u|u^\infty) &= \int_{u_0^\infty}^{u_0} \log \frac{q(s)}{q(u_0^\infty)} ds, \\ h_3^*(u|u^\infty) &= \chi(u) - \chi(u^\infty) - \sum_{i=1}^n (u_i - u_i^\infty) \log p_i(u^\infty), \end{aligned}$$

where χ is introduced in Hypothesis (H3).

Lemma 3. *The functions $h_i^*(\cdot|u^\infty)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, are nonnegative and bounded on $\overline{\mathcal{D}}$.*

Proof. The function h_1^* is bounded since $u_i \mapsto u_i \log u_i$ is bounded for $0 \leq u_i \leq 1$, and h_3^* is bounded thanks to Hypothesis (H3) on p_i . Integrating by parts in $h_2^*(u|u^\infty)$ and observing that $u_0 \log q(u_0) \leq 0$, we find that

$$(10) \quad h_2^*(u|u^\infty) = u_0 \log \frac{q(u_0)}{q(u_0^\infty)} - \int_{u_0^\infty}^{u_0} s \frac{q'(s)}{q(s)} ds \leq -\log q(u_0^\infty) + \int_0^1 s \frac{q'(s)}{q(s)} ds.$$

By Hypothesis (H5), $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} sq'(s)/q(s) = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} s^{1-\beta} \cdot s^\beta q'(s)/q(s)$ is finite (here, we need $\beta \leq 1$). Therefore, $s \mapsto sq'(s)/q(s)$ is bounded on $[0, \delta]$ for some $\delta > 0$. On the other hand, $s \mapsto sq'(s)/q(s)$ is also bounded on $[\delta, 1]$ since this function is continuous and $q(s) > 0$ for $s > 0$ is nondecreasing. This shows that $\int_0^1 (sq'(s)/q(s)) ds$ is bounded, proving the claim. \square

2.1. Study of some auxiliary functions. The study of the large-time behavior is based on the analysis of the two functions

$$(11) \quad f_1(u) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(q(u_0)u_i \log \frac{q(u_0)u_i}{\bar{q}_i} - q(u_0)u_i + \bar{q}_i \right), \quad f_2(u_0) = \int_{\bar{q}}^{q(u_0)} \log \frac{q(s)}{q(\bar{q})} ds,$$

for $u \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}$, where

$$(12) \quad \bar{q} = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} q(u_0) dx, \quad \bar{q}_i = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} q(u_0) u_i dx.$$

Lemma 4. *The function f_1 is nonnegative, and the function f_2 is nonnegative and bounded on $\overline{\mathcal{D}}$.*

Proof. Set $z = q(u_0)u_i/\bar{q}_i$ and let $u \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}$. Then

$$f_1(u) = \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{q}_i (z \log z - z + 1) \geq 0,$$

proving the first claim. To show the nonnegativity of f_2 , we distinguish two cases. If $q(u_0(x, t)) \geq \bar{q}$ at some $(x, t) \in \Omega_T$, then $\log(q(s)/q(\bar{q})) \geq 0$ for any $\bar{q} \leq s \leq q(u_0(x, t))$ and consequently $f_2(u(x, t)) \geq 0$. If $q(u_0(x, t)) < \bar{q}$, we have $\log(q(s)/q(\bar{q})) < 0$ for $q(u_0(x, t)) \leq s \leq \bar{q}$ and $f_2(u_0(x, t)) = \int_{q(u_0(x, t))}^{\bar{q}} \log(q(\bar{q})/q(s)) ds \geq 0$.

It remains to show that f_2 is bounded. Since q is convex, Jensen's inequality shows that $\bar{q} \geq q(|\Omega|^{-1} \int_{\Omega} u_0 dx) = q(u_0^\infty)$. Then, using integration by parts and arguing as in (10),

$$\begin{aligned} f_2(u_0) &= q(u_0) \log \frac{q(q(u_0))}{q(\bar{q})} - \int_{\bar{q}}^{q(u_0)} s \frac{q'(s)}{q(s)} ds \leq -q(u_0) \log q(\bar{q}) + \int_0^1 s \frac{q'(s)}{q(s)} ds \\ &\leq -\log q(q(u_0^\infty)) + \int_0^1 s \frac{q'(s)}{q(s)} ds. \end{aligned}$$

We already showed above that the last integral is bounded. This finishes the proof. \square

2.2. Convergence of f_1 and f_2 .

Lemma 5. *It holds for a.e. $x \in \Omega$, $s \in (0, 1]$ that*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} f_1(u(x, s + N)) = 0, \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} f_2(u_0(x, s + N)) = 0.$$

Proof. The idea is to exploit the boundedness of the entropy production integrated over $t \in (0, \infty)$. First, we consider f_1 . We know from (9) for $s = 0$ and $t \rightarrow \infty$ that

$$(13) \quad c_0 \int_0^\infty \int_{\Omega} \left(q(u_0) \sum_{i=1}^n |\nabla \sqrt{u_i}|^2 + |\nabla \sqrt{q(u_0)}|^2 \right) dx dt \leq \int_{\Omega} h^*(u^0 | u^\infty) dx.$$

Thus, in view of $q(u_0)u_i \geq 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla \sqrt{q(u_0)u_i}|^2 &= q(u_0) |\nabla \sqrt{u_i}|^2 + 2\sqrt{q(u_0)u_i} \nabla \sqrt{q(u_0)} \cdot \nabla \sqrt{u_i} + u_i |\nabla \sqrt{q(u_0)}|^2 \\ &\leq 2q(u_0) |\nabla \sqrt{u_i}|^2 + 2|\nabla \sqrt{q(u_0)}|^2, \end{aligned}$$

it follows for a constant $C > 0$ being independent of time that

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \sqrt{q(u_0)u_i}|^2 dx dt \leq C.$$

Furthermore, by the logarithmic Sobolev inequality (8), applied to $v = q(u_0)u_i$,

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\Omega} q(u_0)u_i \log \frac{q(u_0)u_i}{\bar{q}_i} dx \leq C \int_0^\infty \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \sqrt{q(u_0)u_i}|^2 dx \leq C,$$

recalling definition (12) of \bar{q}_i . Taking into account definition (11) of f_1 , we see that

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\Omega} f_1(u(x, t)) dx ds = \sum_{N=0}^\infty \int_0^1 \int_{\Omega} f_1(u(x, s + N)) dx ds < \infty.$$

Therefore, the sequence $N \mapsto \int_0^1 \int_{\Omega} f_1(u(\cdot, s + N)) dx ds$ converges to zero,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} f_1(u(x, s + N)) = 0 \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega, \quad s \in (0, 1].$$

Next, we prove the limit for f_2 . For any fixed $t > 0$, we introduce the nonnegative function

$$f(s; t) = \int_{\bar{q}(t)}^s \log \frac{q(\sigma)}{q(\bar{q}(t))} d\sigma, \quad 0 < s \leq 1.$$

By Lemma 4, $x \mapsto f(q(u_0(x, t)); t) = f_2(u(x, t))$ is integrable in Ω for any fixed $t > 0$. Moreover, $f(\cdot, t)$ is twice differentiable in $(0, 1)$:

$$\frac{df}{ds}(s; t) = \log \frac{q(s)}{q(\bar{q}(t))}, \quad \frac{d^2 f}{ds^2}(s; t) = \frac{q'(s)}{q(s)} > 0.$$

We infer from the positivity of $d^2 f/ds^2$ that $f(\cdot, t)$ is convex. By Hypothesis (H5), $(d^2 f/ds^2)^{-1} = q/q'$ is concave. Thus, the assumptions of the convex Sobolev inequality (Lemma 2) are satisfied for $f(q(u_0(x, t)); t)$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} f(q(u_0(x, t)); t) dx - f\left(\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} q(u_0(x, t)) dx; t\right) \\ & \leq C(\Omega) \int_{\Omega} \frac{q'(q(u_0(x, t)))}{q(q(u_0(x, t)))} |\nabla q(u_0)|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, since $f(\bar{q}(t); t) = 0$ by definition and recalling that $f(q(u_0(x, t)); t) = f_2(u_0(x, t))$, the previous inequality becomes

$$(14) \quad \int_{\Omega} f_2(u_0) dx \leq C(\Omega) \int_{\Omega} \frac{q(u_0)q'(q(u_0))}{q(q(u_0))} \frac{|\nabla q(u_0)|^2}{q(u_0)} dx \leq C \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \sqrt{q(u_0)}|^2 dx,$$

where we used Hypothesis (H5) to infer that

$$\frac{sq'(s)}{q(s)} = s^{1-\beta} \frac{s^\beta q'(s)}{q(s)} \quad \text{with } s = q(u_0)$$

is bounded in $[0, 1]$. By (13), the integrated entropy dissipation is finite:

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\Omega} f_2(u_0) dx dt \leq C \int_0^\infty \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \sqrt{q(u_0)}|^2 dx dt \leq C.$$

Therefore, arguing as for the function f_1 , we obtain $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} f_2(u_0(x, s + N)) = 0$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$, $s \in (0, 1]$, which finishes the proof. \square

Remark 6. In the nondegenerate case $q'(0) > 0$, it was shown in [19, Section 5] that $t \mapsto h_2^*(u(t)|u^\infty)$ converges to zero exponentially fast. Indeed, applying the convex Sobolev inequality similarly as in the previous proof,

$$(15) \quad \int_{\Omega} h_2^*(u|u^\infty) dx \leq C \int_{\Omega} \frac{q'(u_0)}{q(u_0)} |\nabla u_0|^2 dx = 4C \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla \sqrt{q(u_0)}|^2}{q'(u_0)} dx,$$

and we conclude from the entropy inequality (6) and Gronwall's lemma. Since we allow for $q'(0) = 0$, this argument cannot be used here. We solve this issue by considering the "iterated" function f_2 involving $q \circ q$ and assuming that $s \mapsto sq'(s)/q(s)$ is bounded; see (14). The iterated use of q gives the term $|\nabla \sqrt{q(u_0)}|^2$ in (14) without requiring the nondegeneracy condition $q'(0) > 0$. \square

A consequence of the limit for f_2 is the following result.

Lemma 7. *If $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} f_2(u_0(x, s + N)) = 0$ for some $x \in \Omega$, $s \in (0, 1]$ then*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{q(u_0(x, s + N))}{\bar{q}(s + N)} = 1.$$

Proof. We write $u_i^N := u_i(x, s + N)$ and $\bar{q}^N = \bar{q}(s + N)$ to simplify the notation. We recall from Lemma 4 that f_2 is nonnegative and change the variable $\sigma = s/\bar{q}^N$ in the integral:

$$\begin{aligned} f_2(u_0^N) &= \int_{\bar{q}^N}^{q(u_0^N)} \log \frac{q(s)}{q(\bar{q}^N)} ds = \bar{q}^N \int_1^{q(u_0^N)/\bar{q}^N} \log \frac{q(\bar{q}^N \sigma)}{q(\bar{q}^N)} d\sigma \\ &\geq q(u_0^\infty) \int_1^{q(u_0^N)/\bar{q}^N} \log \frac{q(\bar{q}^N \sigma)}{q(\bar{q}^N)} d\sigma, \end{aligned}$$

where we used Jensen's inequality to find that $\bar{q}^N \geq q(|\Omega|^{-1} \int_\Omega u_0^N dx) = q(u_0^\infty)$. Moreover, since $\bar{q}^N \leq 1$,

$$q(u_0^\infty) \int_1^{q(u_0^N)/\bar{q}^N} \log \frac{q(\bar{q}^N \sigma)}{q(\bar{q}^N)} d\sigma \leq f_2(u_0^N) \leq \int_1^{q(u_0^N)/\bar{q}^N} \log \frac{q(\bar{q}^N \sigma)}{q(\bar{q}^N)} d\sigma.$$

This shows that $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} f_2(u_0^N) = 0$ if and only if

$$(16) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_1^{q(u_0^N)/\bar{q}^N} \log \frac{q(\bar{q}^N \sigma)}{q(\bar{q}^N)} d\sigma = 0.$$

Set $A := \{(x, s) \in \Omega \times (0, 1] : \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} f_2(u_0(x, s + N)) = 0\}$. We want to show that $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} q(u_0^N)/\bar{q}^N = 1$ for $(x, s) \in A$. If not, there exist $(x_0, s_0) \in A$ and $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that either

$$\frac{q(u_0^N)}{\bar{q}^N} > 1 + \varepsilon_0 \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{q(u_0^N)}{\bar{q}^N} < 1 - \varepsilon_0 \quad \text{for all } N \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In the former case, we have $q(\bar{q}^N \sigma) \geq q(\bar{q}^N(1 + \varepsilon_0/2))$ for $\sigma \geq 1 + \varepsilon_0/2$, since q is increasing, and therefore,

$$(17) \quad \int_1^{q(u_0^N)/\bar{q}^N} \log \frac{q(\bar{q}^N \sigma)}{q(\bar{q}^N)} d\sigma \geq \int_{1+\varepsilon_0/2}^{1+\varepsilon_0} \log \frac{q(\bar{q}^N(1 + \varepsilon_0/2))}{q(\bar{q}^N)} d\sigma.$$

Using the convexity of q , a Taylor expansion shows that $q(\bar{q}^N + \bar{q}^N \varepsilon_0/2) \geq q(\bar{q}^N) + q'(\bar{q}^N) \bar{q}^N \varepsilon_0/2$. Then the integrand of the previous integral can be estimated according to

$$\log \left(\frac{q(\bar{q}^N(1 + \varepsilon_0/2))}{q(\bar{q}^N)} \right) \geq \log \left(1 + \frac{q'(\bar{q}^N)}{q(\bar{q}^N)} \bar{q}^N \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2} \right) \geq \log \left(1 + c_0 q(u_0^\infty)^{1-\beta} \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2} \right),$$

where we used Hypothesis (H5) and $\bar{q}^N \geq q(u_0^\infty)$ in the last step, and $c_0 > 0$ is some constant. As the right-hand side is independent of σ , we infer from (17) that

$$\int_1^{q(u_0^N)/\bar{q}^N} \log \frac{q(\bar{q}^N \sigma)}{q(\bar{q}^N)} d\sigma \geq \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2} \log \left(1 + c_0 q(u_0^\infty)^{1-\beta} \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2} \right).$$

In the latter case $q(u_0^N)/\bar{q}^N < 1 - \varepsilon_0$, we estimate as

$$\begin{aligned} \int_1^{q(u_0^N)/\bar{q}^N} \log \frac{q(\bar{q}^N \sigma)}{q(\bar{q}^N)} d\sigma &= \int_{q(u_0^N)/\bar{q}^N}^1 \log \frac{q(\bar{q}^N)}{q(\bar{q}^N \sigma)} d\sigma \\ &\geq \int_{1-\varepsilon_0}^{1-\varepsilon_0/2} \log \frac{q(\bar{q}^N)}{q(\bar{q}^N(1-\varepsilon_0/2))} d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

We apply again a Taylor expansion, similarly as in the first case,

$$q(\bar{q}^N) = q\left(\bar{q}^N\left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2}\right) + \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2}\bar{q}^N\right) \geq q\left(\bar{q}^N\left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2}\right)\right) + q'\left(\bar{q}^N\left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2}\right)\right) \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2}\bar{q}^N,$$

which leads to

$$\log \frac{q(\bar{q}^N)}{q(\bar{q}^N(1-\varepsilon_0/2))} \geq \log \left(1 + \frac{q'(\bar{q}^N(1-\varepsilon_0/2))}{q(\bar{q}^N(1-\varepsilon_0/2))} \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2}\bar{q}^N\right) \geq \log \left(1 + c_0 q(u_0^\infty)^{1-\beta} \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2}\right).$$

Thus, in both cases,

$$\int_1^{q(u_0^N)/\bar{q}^N} \log \frac{q(\bar{q}^N \sigma)}{q(\bar{q}^N)} d\sigma > 0 \quad \text{uniformly in } N \in \mathbb{N},$$

which contradicts (16) and consequently $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} f_2(u_0^N) = 0$. \square

2.3. Key lemma. We show that $f_1(u(\cdot, s+N))/\bar{q}(s+N)$ and $h_1^*(u(\cdot, s+N)|u^\infty)$ are close for sufficiently large $N \in \mathbb{N}$. The following lemma is the key of the proof.

Lemma 8. *For a.e. $x \in \Omega$, $s \in (0, 1]$, it holds that*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{f_1(u(x, s+N))}{\bar{q}(s+N)} - h_1^*(u(x, s+N)|u^\infty) \right) = 0.$$

Proof. We set $u^N := u(\cdot, s+N)$, $\bar{q}^N = \bar{q}(s+N)$, and $\bar{q}_i^N = |\Omega|^{-1} \int_\Omega q(u_0^N) u_i^N dx$. Inserting definition (11) of f_1 , the lemma is proved if we can show that for any $i = 1, \dots, n$,

$$\begin{aligned} (18) \quad 0 &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{q(u_0^N)}{\bar{q}^N} u_i^N \log \frac{q(u_0^N) u_i^N}{\bar{q}_i^N} - \frac{q(u_0^N)}{\bar{q}^N} u_i^N + \frac{\bar{q}_i^N}{\bar{q}^N} - u_i^N \log \frac{u_i^N}{u_i^\infty} + u_i^N - u_i^\infty \right) \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \left(\frac{q(u_0^N)}{\bar{q}^N} u_i^N \log \frac{q(u_0^N) u_i^N}{\bar{q}_i^N} - u_i^N \log \frac{u_i^N}{u_i^\infty} \right) - \left(\frac{q(u_0^N)}{\bar{q}^N} u_i^N - u_i^N \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\frac{\bar{q}_i^N}{\bar{q}^N} - u_i^\infty \right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Fix $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. We know from Lemmas 5 and 7 that $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} q(u_0^N)/\bar{q}^N = 1$ a.e. Together with the boundedness of u_i^N , this shows that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{q(u_0^N)}{\bar{q}^N} u_i^N - u_i^N \right) = 0$$

as well as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{q(u_0^N)}{\bar{q}^N} u_i^N \log \frac{q(u_0^N) u_i^N}{\bar{q}_i^N} - u_i^N \log \frac{u_i^N}{u_i^\infty} \right) \\
&= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{q(u_0^N)}{\bar{q}^N} u_i^N \log \frac{(q(u_0^N)/\bar{q}^N) u_i^N}{\bar{q}_i^N/\bar{q}^N} - u_i^N \log \frac{u_i^N}{u_i^\infty} \right) \\
&= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{q(u_0^N)}{\bar{q}^N} u_i^N \log \frac{q(u_0^N)}{\bar{q}^N} + \left(\frac{q(u_0^N)}{\bar{q}^N} - 1 \right) u_i^N \log \frac{u_i^N}{u_i^\infty} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{q(u_0^N)}{\bar{q}^N} u_i^N \log \frac{\bar{q}_i^N/\bar{q}^N}{u_i^\infty} \right\} = - \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{q(u_0^N)}{\bar{q}^N} u_i^N \log \frac{\bar{q}_i^N/\bar{q}^N}{u_i^\infty}.
\end{aligned}$$

To show that the limit on the right-hand side equals zero, we observe that, because of mass conservation and dominated convergence,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\bar{q}_i^N}{\bar{q}^N} - u_i^\infty \right) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \frac{q(u_0^N)}{\bar{q}^N} u_i^N dx - u_i^\infty \right) \\
&= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \frac{q(u_0^N)}{\bar{q}^N} u_i^N dx - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} u_i^0 dx \right) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{q(u_0^N)}{\bar{q}^N} - 1 \right) u_i^N dx = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

and this is equivalent to $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \log((\bar{q}_i^N/\bar{q}^N)/u_i^\infty) = 0$. We conclude that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{q(u_0^N)}{\bar{q}^N} u_i^N \log \frac{q(u_0^N) u_i^N}{\bar{q}_i^N} - u_i^N \log \frac{u_i^N}{u_i^\infty} \right) = 0.$$

Putting together the previous limits, we have proved (18). \square

2.4. Convergence of h^* . We conclude from Lemmas 5 and 8 that $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} h_1^*(u^N | u^\infty) = 0$. We claim that also h_2^* and h_3^* converge to zero as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Since u_i^N and u_i^∞ are bounded in $[0, 1]$, we have the estimate [12, Lemma 16]

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (u_i^N - u_i^\infty)^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \left(u_i^N \log \frac{u_i^N}{u_i^\infty} - (u_i^N - u_i^\infty) \right) = h_1^*(u^N | u^\infty) \rightarrow 0,$$

showing that $u_i^N \rightarrow u_i^\infty$ a.e. in $\Omega \times (0, 1]$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. We deduce from the continuity of χ that also $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} h_3^*(u^N | u^\infty) = 0$.

For the limit of h_2^* , we observe that $u_0^N = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^n u_i^N \rightarrow u_0^\infty$ a.e. Hence, for any fixed $(x, s) \in \Omega \times (0, 1]$, there exists $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $1/2 \leq u_0(x, s + N)/u_0^\infty \leq 3/2$ for $N > N_0$. Next, we write h_2^* as

$$h_2^*(u^N | u^\infty) = \int_{u_0^\infty}^{u_0^N} \log \frac{q(s)}{q(u_0^\infty)} ds = u_0^\infty \int_1^{u_0^N/u_0^\infty} \log \frac{q(u_0^\infty \sigma)}{q(u_0^\infty)} d\sigma.$$

Since the integrand is a function in $L^1(1/2, 3/2)$, it follows from the absolute continuity of the integral that $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} h_2^*(u^N | u^\infty) = 0$ a.e. in $\Omega \times (0, 1]$. By definition of h^* , we have proved that $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} h^*(u^N | u^\infty) = 0$.

2.5. Convergence in $L^p(\Omega)$. We deduce from the relative entropy inequality (9) that $t \mapsto \int_{\Omega} h^*(u(t)|u^\infty)dx$ is bounded and nonincreasing. Then it follows from the limit $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} h^*(u^N|u^\infty) = 0$ that in fact we have the convergence for all sequences $t \rightarrow \infty$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} h^*(u(t)|u^\infty)dx = 0$ and in particular, since $h_2^* \geq 0$ and $h_3^* \geq 0$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} h_1^*(u(t)|u^\infty)dx = 0.$$

Using [12, Lemma 16] again, we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\Omega} (u_i(t) - u_i^\infty)^2 dx \leq \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} h_1^*(u(t)|u^\infty)dx = 0.$$

The convergence in $L^p(\Omega)$ for any $p < \infty$ then follows from the uniform bound for $(u_i(t))_{t>0}$, finishing the proof.

Remark 9 (Drift terms). Equations (4) with drift terms read as

$$\partial_t u_i = D_i \operatorname{div} \left\{ u_i p_i(u) q(u_0) \nabla \left(\log \frac{u_i p_i(u)}{q(u_0)} + \Phi_i \right) \right\}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where $\Phi_i = \Phi_i(x)$ are given (electric or environmental) potentials. Adding the associated energy to the entropy density (5),

$$h_2(u) = \sum_{i=1}^n (u_i (\log u_i - 1) + 1) + \int_1^{u_0} \log q(s) ds + \chi(u) + \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \Phi_i,$$

we can compute (formally) the entropy inequality, giving

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} h_2(u) dx + \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^n D_i u_i p_i(u) q(u_0) \left| \nabla \left(\log \frac{u_i p_i(u)}{q(u_0)} + \Phi_i \right) \right|^2 dx = 0.$$

It was shown in [19, Section 3.2] that the entropy production term with $\Phi_i = 0$ can be bounded from below by $p_i(u)(q(u_0) \sum_{i=1}^n |\nabla \sqrt{u_i}|^2 + |\nabla \sqrt{q(u_0)}|^2)$. Such an estimate seems to be impossible in the presence of $\nabla \Phi_i$. Indeed, the entropy inequality shows that

$$\begin{aligned} & 4 \int_0^\infty \int_{\Omega} q(u_0)^2 e^{-\Phi_i} \left| \nabla \left(\frac{u_i p_i(u) e^{\Phi_i}}{q(u_0)} \right)^{1/2} \right|^2 dx \\ & = \int_0^\infty \int_{\Omega} u_i p_i(u) q(u_0) \left| \nabla \left(\log \frac{u_i p_i(u)}{q(u_0)} + \Phi_i \right) \right|^2 < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, in the special case $q(0) > 0$ and if Φ_i is bounded from above, we conclude the existence of a subsequence $t_k \rightarrow \infty$ such that $\nabla(u_i p_i(u) e^{\Phi_i} / q(u_0))^{1/2}(t_k) \rightarrow 0$ strongly in $L^2(\Omega)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, and one may proceed similarly as in [2, Section 5]. However, the condition $q(0) = 0$ is needed to model correctly the transition rate of nonoccupied cells in the lattice model [3, 19]. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Bikerman. XXXIX. Structure and capacity of electrical double layer. *Philos. Mag.* 33 (1942), 384–397.
- [2] D. Bothe, A. Fischer, and J. Saal. Global well-posedness and stability of electrokinetic flows. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 46 (2014), 1263–1316.
- [3] M. Burger, M. Di Francesco, J.-F. Pietschmann, and B. Schlake. Nonlinear cross-diffusion with size exclusion. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 42 (2010), 2842–2871.
- [4] M. Burger, B. Schlake, and W.-T. Wolfram. Nonlinear Poisson–Nernst–Planck equations for ion flux through confined geometries. *Nonlinearity* 25 (2012), 961–990.
- [5] J. A. Carrillo, A. Jüngel, P. Markowich, G. Toscani, and A. Unterreiter. Entropy dissipation methods for degenerate parabolic problems and generalized Sobolev inequalities. *Monatsh. Math.* 133 (2001), 1–82.
- [6] E. Daus, A. Jüngel, and B. Q. Tang. Exponential time decay of solutions to reaction-cross-diffusion systems of Maxwell–Stefan type. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 235 (2020), 1059–1104.
- [7] M. Di Francesco, K. Fellner, and P. Markowich. The entropy dissipation method for spatially inhomogeneous reaction-diffusion-type systems. *Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A* 464 (2008), 3273–3300.
- [8] L. Desvillettes and K. Fellner. Exponential decay toward equilibrium via entropy methods for reaction-diffusion equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 319 (2006), 157–176.
- [9] A. Gerstenmayer and A. Jüngel. Analysis of a degenerate parabolic cross-diffusion system for ion transport. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 461 (2018), 523–543.
- [10] A. Glitzky and R. Hünlich. Global estimates and asymptotics for electro-reaction-diffusion systems in heterostructures. *Appl. Anal.* 66 (1997), 205–226.
- [11] C.-Y. Hsieh. Global existence of solutions for the Poisson–Nernst–Planck system with steric effects. *Nonlin. Anal. Real World Appl.* 50 (2019), 34–54.
- [12] X. Huo, A. Jüngel, and A. Tzavaras. Weak–strong uniqueness for Maxwell–Stefan systems. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 54 (2022), 3215–3252.
- [13] A. Jüngel. The boundedness-by-entropy method for cross-diffusion systems. *Nonlinearity* 28 (2015), 1963–2001.
- [14] A. Jüngel and I. Stelzer. Existence analysis of Maxwell–Stefan systems for multicomponent mixtures. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 45 (2013), 2421–2440.
- [15] K. Painter. Continuous models for cell migration in tissues and applications to cell sorting via differential chemotaxis. *Bull. Math. Biol.* 71 (2009), 1117–1147.
- [16] N. Shigesada, K. Kawasaki, and E. Teramoto. Spatial segregation of interacting species. *J. Theor. Biol.* 79 (1979), 83–99.
- [17] S. Shim. Long-time properties of prey–predator system with cross-diffusion. *Commun. Korean Math. Soc.* 21 (2006), 293–320.
- [18] M. Simpson, K. Landman, and B. Hughes. Multi-species simple exclusion processes. *Physica A* 388 (2009), 399–406.
- [19] N. Zamponi and A. Jüngel. Analysis of degenerate cross-diffusion population models with volume filling. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Lin.* 34 (2017), 1–29. (Erratum: 34 (2017), 789–792.)
- [20] J. Zinsl. Exponential convergence to equilibrium in a Poisson–Nernst–Planck–type system with nonlinear diffusion. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Sys.* 36 (2016), 2915–2930.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS (ZHUHAI), SUN YAT-SEN UNIVERSITY, ZHUHAI 519082, GUANGDONG PROVINCE, CHINA

Email address: chenxiuqing@mail.sysu.edu.cn

INSTITUTE OF ANALYSIS AND SCIENTIFIC COMPUTING, TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT WIEN, WIEDNER HAUPTSTRASSE 8–10, 1040 WIEN, AUSTRIA

Email address: juengel@tuwien.ac.at

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, GUANGZHOU MARITIME UNIVERSITY, GUANGZHOU 510765, GUANGDONG PROVINCE, CHINA

Email address: linxi@gzmtu.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS (ZHUHAI), SUN YAT-SEN UNIVERSITY, ZHUHAI 519082, GUANGDONG PROVINCE, CHINA

Email address: liuling55@mail2.sysu.edu.cn