


Average of extracellular bone tissue composition is invariant across mammalian femora tissues.

Luis Zelaya-Lainez¹, Hawraa Kariem¹, Winfried Nischkauer², Andreas Limbeck², Christian Hellmich¹

¹Institute for Mechanics of Materials and Structures, Vienna University of Technology.
²Institute of Chemical Technologies and Analytics, Vienna University of Technology.

Motivation

There is a long tradition in studying the chemical composition [1] or the micro-morphological features of bone from different species [2], but these activities were never performed simultaneously. May such a simultaneous investigation lead to the discovery of interesting patterns and invariant properties among several vertebrates?




The mechanical properties of bone depend on the amount of mineral content, organic contents (90% is collagen), and water content (within the different porosities). As well as on their organization across the hierarchical structure of bone [3]. The porosity of cortical bone (A) includes the vascular porosity (B), the lacunar porosity (C), and the ultra-structure porosity.


Overview

Material & Methods



Sample preparation



The 112 bone samples from 7 different species were harvested from healthy femora (A). The millimeter sample sizes were obtained by employing a diamond blade band (B), a low speed saw (C) or a scalpel. The samples were subsequently attached to a custom-made steel holder (D), and polished using a rotating polishing system (E) or an ultra-miller with a diamond tip (F).



Porosity determination



The images from the polished surfaces of each sample obtained under a light microscope (A) were converted into 8-bit images and filtered manually (B). The image segmentation was performed by a two-level threshold method. Later, the pores were classified into vascular pores and lacunae pores by setting an user-defined second threshold condition.

Demineralization procedure



The samples were demineralized using a 0.5 M EDTA solution with a pH 7.5 (A). The solutions of the three immersions from each sample were examined using inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES) (B) in order to detect the presence of Calcium, Magnesium, or Phosphorous. After not detecting any of the before-mentioned minerals in the last 0.5 M EDTA solutions, the samples are considered to be demineralized at this point.

Dehydration and hydration protocols

To access the total water content, i.e. lacunar, vascular and ultrastructural porosity, the samples were first dehydrated under vacuum alongside with an orange silica gel desiccant. Subsequently, the samples were hydrated with HBSS until no weight difference was noticed.



Results & Discussion

Weight fractions, volume fractions, and densities at the ultrastructure

Weight Fraction:

$$WF_i = \frac{mass_i}{mass_{total}}$$

Volume Fraction:


$$f^i = \frac{volume^i}{volume_{total}}$$

Density:

$$\rho = \frac{mass_{total}}{volume_{total}}$$

The mass and volume of the bone contents are normally given in terms of the content weight and volume fractions.

Composition of Extracellular Bone Matrix in terms of average volume fractions



species	cow	horse	ostrich	emu	pig	rabbit	frog
cow	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
horse	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
ostrich	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
emu	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
pig	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
rabbit	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
frog	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

species	cow	horse	ostrich	emu	pig	rabbit	frog
cow	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
horse	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
ostrich	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
emu	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
pig	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
rabbit	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
frog	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

species	cow	horse	ostrich	emu	pig	rabbit	frog
cow	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
horse	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
ostrich	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
emu	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
pig	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
rabbit	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
frog	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

Discussion

We discovered an invariance of the *chemical composition* at the ultrastructural level across all the *mature mammals*, i.e. bovine, equine, and leporine specimens.

The comparison of the “*big porosities*”, i.e. vascular and lacunar porosities, among the different vertebrates shows a considerable interspecies variation.

References:

- [1] Robinson and Elliot (1957), *The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery* 39(1):167–188.
- [2] Lees et al., (1979) *Calcified Tissue International* 29(1):107–117.
- [3] Fritsch and Hellmich (2007), *Journal of Theoretical Biology* 244(4):597–620.